## The 30 m global land cover products from China: datasets and methodology

Peng Gong

Center for Earth System Science, Tsinghua University and Dept of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley April 18, 2013 – GEO GLC Task Session Wageningen, Netherlands

## Evolution of large area mapping in China with satellite remote sensing

China scale mapping

- IOG led (Wu Chuanjun)
  - Land use based on MSS 1980s
- IRSA led by Liu Jiyuan
- SMA Yongwei Sheng
- Activities in 2000s
  - BNU Yaozhong Pan (AVHRR)
    IRSA/IOG Liu JY/Zhang ZX Land use 2000, 2005, 2010 (Landsat)
  - IRSA Bingfang Wu (SPOT Vegetation, HJ-1)

Mainly general purp. Manual int

#### Large area mapping from 2007

- IRSA wetland mapping 78-10
  Landsat MSS, TM; CBERS
- IRSA/Tsinghua urban mapping
  Landsat TM 90, 00, 10
- Tsinghua 30 m global LC 2010, later 2000, 1990
- Tsinghua 250 m global LC 2001, 2010, later annual
- Tsinghua 30 m global Crop C.
- Tsinghua 30 m China LC
- NJU/IRSA/Tsinghua 500 m 8 day global water
- NGC 30 m global water 00-10

### Strategies

- Produce the best maps for China efficiently
- Develop environmental datasets for China in support of scientific applications – health, food security, climate change, biodiversity
- From land cover to land use
- Focus research on hard to map areas Russia, Africa and India
- Intensify international collaboration networks for validation, scientific application and policy assessment

From avian influenza H5N1 research to China's wetland maps – based on optical data only

- 2000 Landsat TM
- 1990 Landsat TM
- 1978 Landsat MSS
- 2008 CBERS
- 2010 In progress (to be completed this month)

2010 – global In progress (to be completed in 2013)

For references:

Niu, Gong, Cheng et al., 2009, Science in China: Series D Gong, Niu, Cheng et al., 2010, Science China, Earth Science Niu, et al., 2012, Chinese Science Bulletin

# From 1 km global potential wetland mapping to 30 m wetland mapping



Natural Suitability Predicted by BRT

Modified by Human Converted areas

Zhu P et al, In prep

# From 1 km global potential wetland mapping to 30 m wetland mapping



Modelled Local Water Balance methods

Interpolated Modelled Groundwater Table Method

Fan et al, 2013

## From health research to China's urban expansion maps

1990 – Landsat TM

2000 – Landsat TM

2010 – Landsat TM/ETM+

In progress all settlements that can be mapped in these three years (to be completed in May, 2013)

For references:

Wang, Li, Ying, et al., 2012. Chinese Science Bulletin Gong et al., 2012. Lancet,



# Intensive test of algorithms for China's land cover mapping

- Faster algorithms
- More features
- Individual class extraction forest, water, etc.

















Li CC et al., in preparation

#### Crop types in Central Valley California from Phenological analysis of Landsat TM images



Zhong, Biging, Gong, 2012, PE&RS

#### Mapping crop types in Central Valley California



Zhong, Biging, Gong, 2012, PE&RS









# Three existing 30m land cover map products

FROM-GLC – only used Landsat TM or ETM+ data
FROM-GLC-SEG used Landsat, 250m MODIS 16 day time series and other bioclimatic data products
FROM-GLC-AGG aggregates previous two products, NOAA night light impervious surface area and Boston/Wisconsin urban land products
FROM-GLC-MetaPrediciton in progress (scheduled to complete in June 2013)

All three products downloadable from data.ess.tsinghua.edu.cn

#### Finer Resolution Observation and Monitoring -Global Land Cover

FROM-GLC download web URL: http://data.ess.tsinghua.edu.cn/index.html Download by MODIS Tile (FROM-GLC) Download by Path/Row (FROM-GLC) omgicseg 0 1.html + News Release of FROM-GLC Version 1 (08 N omgicagg 0 1.html E FROM-GLC download web URL: http:// Finland FROM-GLC-seg download web URL: ht FROM-GLC-ago download web URL: h If you do not know the MODIS tile i Sweden Iceland If you do not know the Landsat Pat Russia Norway About FROM-GLC Global land cover data are key sources Code Type Code using Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) ar walked to existing global land cover clas Poland Ukraine **Classification system** Kazakhstan evel 1 Type Level 1 Coce Level 2 Type Lev 20/Vorth Turkey North Atlantic Afghanistan Netland Iraq cean Ocean Iran Pakistan Algeria Egypt Libva mpervíous Saudi Mexico Arabia w/Ice Mali Niger Sudan Chad Nigeria Legend Ethiopia Venezuela Colombia Land cover type (Level1) Level 1 Code Leve eckground Kenva DR Congo Tropland ndonesia Papua New ke 95 Other **96** Tanzania Guinea Brass Brazil Shrub Peru Angola Impervious Bolivia arelan Namibia Indian Madagascar nu/Tre Botswana South Ocean South Australia Chile Atlantic Pacific South Ocean Methods Ocean FROM-GLC was produced using 91433 guns respectively. In addition, the spectr Argentina New Four sets of global land cover maps wer The SVM produced the highest overall of Zealand ution global Reference 20 March, 2013 - 15 April, 2013 Its with Gong, P., Wang, J., Yu, L., Zhao, Y., Z Liu, X., Shi, T., Zhu, M., Chen, Y., Yan Landsat TM and ETM+ data. IJRS, 34(7): 2607-2654.

http://data.ess.tsinghua.edu.cn/



unaligned sampling

Gong et al., 2013. IJRS



### Initial sample summary

Table 6.	Attributes	for test	sample	collection.
----------	------------	----------	--------	-------------

	Img-	Туре	Large	High			Cross-	Quality		
ID	name	code	sample	resolution	Confidence	Pure	check	control	Comment	Notes

#### Table 7. Summary of test samples (N = 38,664).

Sample types and quality	Percentage of total			
Large sample – homogeneous area greater than 500 m $\times$ 500 m	37.82% (14,623/38,664)			
High resolution images available in Google Earth	59.39% (22,962/38,664)			
Confidence class – sure	80.80% (31,241/38,664)			
Confidence class – not sure	12.42% (4798/38,664)			
Confidence class – highly uncertain	6.78% (2625/38,664)			
Pure pixel	62.43% (24,138/38,664)			

#### Example of phenology characterization



Challenges – samples are time dependent, sustaining this database requires long-term commitment

#### 30 m resolution global land cover mapping



#### FROM-GLC (Accuracy: 63.72%)



Gong et al., 2013. IJRS

#### FROM-GLC-SEG, a segmentation-based multiresolution integration

- Spatial down-scaling
  - TM/ETM 30m -> MODIS EVI 250m, Bio/DEM/Soil-Water1km
  - Homogeneous polygon (watershed segmentation)
- Extracting MODIS EVI (and other features) values b the center of segment polygon



Yu et al., in press, IJRS



FROM-GLC-SEG (Accuracy: 64.63%)

Yu et al., in press, IJRS



FROM-GLC-AGG (Accuracy: 66.00%) Yu et al., submitted

#### Three global land cover products

		FROM	I-GLC	FROM-0	GLC-seg	FROM-O	GLC-agg
<b>FROM-GLC-agg</b> Global land cover area survey using aggragated 30 meter global land cover mans	OA	63.6	59%	64.4	2%	65.5	51%
	К	0.54	429	0.5	562	0.57	722
<b>EPOM CLC soa</b> Improving FROM-GLC with time series MODIS and availary detents using compartation based	K <sub>var</sub>	9.280	)4e-6	9.213	7e-6	9.134	41e-6
aux mary datasets using segmentation based approach	CI	[0.5370	,0.5489]	[0.5502,	0.5621]	[0.5663,	0.5781]
Finer resolution global land cover mapping using		UA (%)	PA (%)	UA (%)	PA (%)	UA (%)	PA (%)
FROM-GLC Landast TM/ETM+	Cropland	43.24	37.59	55.21	67.63	57.60	66.62
• FROM-GLC	Forest	80.16	77.10	79.13	80.09	80.07	79.06
<ul> <li>Landsat TM/ETM+</li> </ul>	Grasslands	53.66	34.18	52.43	34.57	53.14	34.42
• FROM-GLC-seg	Shrublands	49.11	34.73	48.89	38.45	48.31	37.93
<ul> <li>Landsat TM/ETM+, Landsat TM/ETM+,</li> </ul>	Water Bodies	82.88	88.41	72.02	87.72	69.51	93.10
MODIS EVI, Bioclimatic variables, DEM	Impervious	34.88	10.53	-	-	40.59	25.00
<ul> <li>EPOM CLC aga</li> </ul>	Barelands	56.38	93.45	60.64	91.23	62.43	90.60
- Aggregation of FROM-GLC FROM-GLC-	Snow & Ice	96.54	85.94	80.87	63.35	97.95	58.58
seg, and two 1km global impervious products	Cloud	65.82	83.63	-	-	66.97	83.50
(Elvidge et al., 2007), Schneider et al., 2009,							

2010)

#### Meta-prediction results

#### Clinton, Yu et al, in prep



#### 250 m global land cover change maps

250 m global land cover using Random Forest classifier - overall accuracy = 77% by cross-validation

### 250 m global land cover 2001, 2010 using spatial-temporal contextual classification

With 3 yr MODIS time series data Method – Liu, Kelly and Gong, 2006, RSE Liu, Song, Townshend, Gong, 2008, RSE





2009 - 2010 - 2011



#### Russian and China border (2001,2010) (127.7E, 50.5N)



#### Amazon Basin (2001,2010) (49.7W, 5.9S)



#### 30 m global water masks, 2000, 2010

#### **Extraction of Open Water**











## Global Land Surface Water (2000-2010)



Continents name	2000 water area(10 <sup>4</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )	2010 water srea(10 <sup>4</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )		
Europe	31.56	31. 59		
Oceania	4.61	4.82		
Africa	27.23	27.19		
South America	26. 57	26. 78		
North America	153.05	153.02		
Asia	124. 55	124. 28		
Globe	367.56	367.67		

- 2000 367.56 10<sup>4</sup>km<sup>2</sup>,
- 2010 367.67 10<sup>4</sup>km<sup>2</sup>

#### **Statistical Correction**



 At TM date (D), the correction coefficient (k<sub>D</sub>) can be calculated as:

$$k_{\rm D} = A_{\rm m} / A_{\rm D}$$

- The corrected 30m water area  $(A^{TM}{}_{D}')$  for each TM scene is calculated as:  $A^{TM}{}_{D}' = A^{TM}{}_{D} * k_{D}$
- Finally, we can carry out the global regional statistics and 2000/2010 comparison using the corrected 30m-water data.



## 30 m global cropland mask – FROM-GC initiative led by Le Yu



### **FROM-Global Cropland**





![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Finally

#### **Web-based Validation**

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

Images and mapping results
Convenient tools for online evaluat.
Post-

analysis and modification

30m Land Cover Data(left) and Google Images(Right)

#### **Call for Participation to Validation**

More information

www.globallandcover.com.cn

- Contact: <u>glc@ngcc.cn</u>
- Future Mtg: April 29-30, 2013, Beijing

Presentation of the results of GLC mapping

Evaluation of the data products

- Future Mtg: June 25-27, 2013, Nairobi
  - Presentation of the results of GLC mapping

**Contact:** <u>luliang@berkeley.edu</u>

### Acknowledgements

- Le Yu, Yuanyuan Zhao, Luanyun Hu, Qinchuan Xin, Nicholas Clinton, Tsinghua University
- Lu Liang, Yanlei Chen, Liheng Zhong, UC Berkeley
- Zhenguo Niu, Jie Wang, Lei Wang, Peng Zhu, Wei Fu, Huabing Huang, Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth, CAS
- Congcong Li, Yue Xu, Xueyan Li, Jin Chen, Beijing Normal University, China
- Fangdi Sun, Nanjing University
- Jun Chen, National Geomatics Center, China
- Zhiliang Zhu, US Geological Survey

![](_page_54_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Thank you for your attention!